#### UBS Center for Economics in Society

at the University of Zurich

#### Nobel laureate Daron Acemoğlu



UBS Center for Economics in Society at the University of Zurich

January 29, 2025 Universität Zürich

## Can Technological Progress Build Shared Prosperity?

Lessons from Power and Progress: Our 1000-Year Struggle Over Technology & Prosperity

#### DARON ACEMOGLU

UBS Center Lecture, Zürich January 2025







# An "Age of innovation"?

We are going through a period of rapid invention, by several metrics: many new widgets and apps, with a tremendous increase in patenting, especially from the electronics and IT sectors.

And now, (generative) AI.

Total patents granted (left) and Electronics or Information patent shares (right) 1940–2010



**Source:** Acemoglu, Daron, David Autor, and Christina Patterson. 2023. "Bottlenecks: Sectoral Imbalances and the US Productivity Slowdown." NBER Chapters, in: NBER Macroeconomics Annual (38).

## But who will benefit? What will happen to shared prosperity?

Or will generative AI serve only a technological elite?

Who decides? Who controls information, and who controls innovation?

Will widespread benefits follow automatically from technological progress?





## Optimistic take: The productivity bandwagon:

In reality it depends on power and the nature of technology.

Medieval breakthrough: windmills Aristocracy and clergy benefited; peasants were forced laborers



## **The Industrial Revolution:** The nature of technology: automation

Automation can break the productivity bandwagon. Why?

As machines displace labor, average productivity increases, but marginal productivity of labor may not, especially if there are no new tasks created for workers.

No incentives for firms to hire more workers or pay them more.

In the early 19th century, power looms automated the work of handweavers.

Working conditions worsened. Wages were low and did not increase. "No man would like to work in a power-loom...there is such a clattering and noise it would almost make some men mad...to be subject to a discipline that a hand-loom weaver can never..."



## Modern times are different... right?

Shared growth and prosperity following WWII, but a growing divergence over the past 40 years

The change in real (log) weekly earnings, since 1963 Working age adults, ages 18–64



Data source: IPUMS Current Population Survey (CPS), Annual Social and Economic Supplement (ASEC). Methodology builds from Autor, David. 2019. "Work of the Past, Work of the Future." AEA Papers and Proceedings, 109: 1–32. Composition-adjusted (by sex-education-experience) mean log wages for full-time, full-year workers, aged 16 to 64.

## The US story is not universal

A very different picture for wage inequality in Sweden, though disposable income, inclusive of transfers and capital income, is becoming more unequal in Sweden as well. Global forces?



Market income versus disposable (post-transfer) income Gini coefficient changes, 1990s–2010s

Change in disposable income Gini



Sources: (left) Fredrich, Laun, Meghir, 2021. "Income Dynamics of Immigrants and Natives in Sweden, 1985—2016." (right) Nordic Council of Ministers, "Economic Policy Review 2018."

When does technological progress deliver shared prosperity?

#### New tasks and worker power

Lessons from the U.S. automobile manufacturing industry:

- I. Electrification and the modern factory dramatically boosted *marginal worker productivity*
- II. Labor organizations became stronger, bolstering *sharing of productivity gains* and *worker voice*



**1a**Too much focuson automation,not enough oncreating new tasks





**1b** Wage and inequality consequences of automation

**Change in real wages due to automation of job tasks** 1980–2016



**Source:** Acemoglu, Daron and Pascual Restrepo. 2022. "Tasks, Automation, and the Rise in U.S. Wage Inequality." Econometrica, 90(5): 1973–2016.

11

2 New corporate visions and erosion of worker power

> "The social responsibility of business is to increase its profits"

> > –Milton Friedman, 1970

Milton Friedman: University of Chicago economist

#### Professional Air Traffic Controllers strike, 1981



## It depends on the vision guiding AI

The dominant vision ("ideology") of AI:

Machines designed to be *smarter and more powerful* than (most) humans.

- Views and priorities of powerful actors matter greatly.
- Machine intelligence building on Alan Turing's conceptualization of how the mind works and how computers could imitate and surpass that.
- This vision inexorably leads to a bias towards automation, esp. when coupled with corporate incentives for cost cutting.
- It is not the only game in town, as I will discuss later.

4 H.

• But it did become the only game in the tech sector over the last several decades.



Source: American Academy of Achievement

Alan Turing

## But where is productivity?

Anemic growth in productivity across the industrialized world.

**Total Factor Productivity in OECD Countries** Annual growth rate of total factor productivity (TFP) *Growth over time*, 1980–2009 Preceding decadal average, 1990–2010 1.3 3.5 by Decade 3 1.2 2.5 Percent per Year, 1.1 2 1.5 1890–2010 Average Growth: 1.0 1.1 Percent per Year 1 0.9 0.5 0 0.8 1900 1910 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 2010 1990 1995 2010 1980 1985 2000 2005 ——Germanv — UK — France — Japan — USA – – Italy – – Spain

But perhaps this is temporary and—when productivity picks up, with further advances in AI—everybody will benefit.



### **Missing:** Productivity From (autonomous) machine intelligence?

The promise of automation, especially based on machine intelligence, is productivity growth.

But it has repeatedly disappointed in offices, customer service and even in retail. Why?

"So-so automation": Limited productivity benefits if humans are good and machines not as good as sometimes presumed.



# Surveillance is also intensifying

Similar trends in both authoritarian and democratic countries.

Centralized control of data does not augur well for the future of democracy.

Worse, as Hannah Arendt foresaw, real danger:

"nobody believes anything any longer."



# What's wrong with this picture?

Change the narrative away from the desirability of topdown schemes, towards including greater voices in the technology choices.





## **Politics of shared prosperity**

### Countervailing powers

- Labor movement
- Bottom-up organizations from civil society
- Implementing appropriate regulation (e.g., taxes, antitrust, data, support worker-friendly technologies)



# Key for shared prosperity

Redirect technological change to enhance human capabilities:

- New tasks for greater worker marginal productivity
- Better information for workers and human decision-makers
- Greater worker autonomy
- Empowering citizens
- Why other policies (redistribution, unions, defensive regulations) will not be enough without redirection.



Ted Nelson:

technological pioneer, 1974

The public does not have to take what's being dished out...

COMPUTER POWER TO THE PEOPLE!

DOWN WITH CYBERCRUD!"

### **Better AI**

We already have the beginning of a different vision for AI Machines designed to *complement* human abilities.

- Alternative to machines designed to be smarter and more powerful than humans.
- This alternative vision let's call it machine usefulness or "pro-human AI" starts with Norbert Weiner.
- Articulated and put into practice by computer scientists, such as JCR Licklider and Douglas Engelbart, "human-machine symbiosis."
- It implies a focus on better information and new tasks for workers in the production process and better tools controlled by humans in communication.









## Can we actually redirect technology?

Yes, investment in the right technologies can be achieved by societal mobilization and government policy



**1a** Too much focus on automation, not enough on creating new tasks

## Watch **replay** on **Parallelee**